# Bayesian statistics with R

# 4. A detour with priors

Olivier Gimenez

November-December 2024

A detour to explore priors

## Influence of the prior

#### **Prior** Beta(0.5, 0.5) and posterior survival Beta(19.5, 38.5)



#### **Prior** *Beta*(2,2) **and posterior survival** *Beta*(21,40)



#### **Prior** *Beta*(20, 1) **and posterior survival** *Beta*(39, 49)



 In biological applications, the prior is a convenient means of incorporating expert opinion or information from previous or related studies that would otherwise need to be ignored. We'll get back to that.

- In biological applications, the prior is a convenient means of incorporating expert opinion or information from previous or related studies that would otherwise need to be ignored. We'll get back to that.
- With sparse data, the role of the prior can be to enable inference on key parameters that would otherwise be impossible.

- In biological applications, the prior is a convenient means of incorporating expert opinion or information from previous or related studies that would otherwise need to be ignored. We'll get back to that.
- With sparse data, the role of the prior can be to enable inference on key parameters that would otherwise be impossible.
- With sufficiently large and informative datasets the prior typically has little effect on the results.

- In biological applications, the prior is a convenient means of incorporating expert opinion or information from previous or related studies that would otherwise need to be ignored. We'll get back to that.
- With sparse data, the role of the prior can be to enable inference on key parameters that would otherwise be impossible.
- With sufficiently large and informative datasets the prior typically has little effect on the results.
- Always perform a sensitivity analysis.

 Informative priors aim to reflect information available to the analyst that is gained independently of the data being studied.

- Informative priors aim to reflect information available to the analyst that is gained independently of the data being studied.
- In the absence of any prior information on one or more model parameters we wish to ensure that this lack of knowledge is properly reflected in the prior.

- Informative priors aim to reflect information available to the analyst that is gained independently of the data being studied.
- In the absence of any prior information on one or more model parameters we wish to ensure that this lack of knowledge is properly reflected in the prior.
- Always perform a sensitivity analysis.

• A bird might captured, missed and recaptured; this is coded 101.

- A bird might captured, missed and recaptured; this is coded 101.
- Simplest model relies on constant survival  $\phi$  and detection p probabilities.

- A bird might captured, missed and recaptured; this is coded 101.
- Simplest model relies on constant survival  $\phi$  and detection p probabilities.
- Likelihood for that particular bird:

 $\mathsf{Pr}(101) = \phi(1-p)\phi p$ 

- A bird might captured, missed and recaptured; this is coded 101.
- Simplest model relies on constant survival  $\phi$  and detection p probabilities.
- Likelihood for that particular bird:

 $\mathsf{Pr}(101) = \phi(1-p)\phi p$ 

• We assume a vague prior:

 $\phi_{\textit{prior}} \sim \mathsf{Beta}(1,1) = \mathsf{Uniform}(0,1)$ 

#### Notation

- $y_{i,t} = 1$  if individual *i* detected at occasion *t* and 0 otherwise
- $z_{i,t} = 1$  if individual *i* alive between occasions *t* and t + 1 and 0 otherwise

 $egin{aligned} y_{i,t} \mid z_{i,t} &\sim \mathsf{Bernoulli}(p \; z_{i,t}) \ z_{i,t+1} \mid z_{i,t} &\sim \mathsf{Bernoulli}(\phi \; z_{i,t}) \ \phi &\sim \mathsf{Beta}(1,1) \ p &\sim \mathsf{Beta}(1,1) \end{aligned}$ 

[likelihood (observation eq.)] [likelihood (state eq.)] [prior for  $\phi$ ] [prior for p]

### European dippers in Eastern France (1981-1987)



• If no information, mean posterior survival is  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.51, 0.61].

- If no information, mean posterior survival is  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.51, 0.61].
- Using information on body mass and annual survival of 27 European passerines, we can predict survival of European dippers using only body mass.

- If no information, mean posterior survival is  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.51, 0.61].
- Using information on body mass and annual survival of 27 European passerines, we can predict survival of European dippers using only body mass.
- For dippers, body mass is 59.8g, therefore  $\phi = 0.57$  with sd = 0.073.

- If no information, mean posterior survival is  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.51, 0.61].
- Using information on body mass and annual survival of 27 European passerines, we can predict survival of European dippers using only body mass.
- For dippers, body mass is 59.8g, therefore  $\phi = 0.57$  with sd = 0.073.
- Assuming an informative prior  $\phi_{prior} \sim \text{Normal}(0.57, 0.073^2)$ .

- If no information, mean posterior survival is  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.51, 0.61].
- Using information on body mass and annual survival of 27 European passerines, we can predict survival of European dippers using only body mass.
- For dippers, body mass is 59.8g, therefore  $\phi = 0.57$  with sd = 0.073.
- Assuming an informative prior  $\phi_{prior} \sim \text{Normal}(0.57, 0.073^2)$ .
- Mean posterior  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.52, 0.60].

- If no information, mean posterior survival is  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.51, 0.61].
- Using information on body mass and annual survival of 27 European passerines, we can predict survival of European dippers using only body mass.
- For dippers, body mass is 59.8g, therefore  $\phi = 0.57$  with sd = 0.073.
- Assuming an informative prior  $\phi_{prior} \sim \text{Normal}(0.57, 0.073^2)$ .
- Mean posterior  $\phi_{posterior} = 0.56$  with credible interval [0.52, 0.60].
- No increase of precision in posterior inference.

• Now if you had only the three first years of data, what would have happened?

- Now if you had only the three first years of data, what would have happened?
- Width of credible interval is 0.47 (vague prior) vs. 0.30 (informative prior).

- Now if you had only the three first years of data, what would have happened?
- Width of credible interval is 0.47 (vague prior) vs. 0.30 (informative prior).
- Huge increase of precision in posterior inference (40% gain)!

#### Compare vague vs. informative prior



Prior elicitation via moment matching

 Recall that the Beta distribution is a continuous distribution with values between 0 and 1. Useful for modelling survival or detection probabilities.

- Recall that the Beta distribution is a continuous distribution with values between 0 and 1. Useful for modelling survival or detection probabilities.
- If X ~ Beta(α, β), then the first and second moments of X are:

$$\mu = \mathsf{E}(X) = rac{lpha}{lpha + eta}$$
 $\sigma^2 = \mathsf{Var}(X) = rac{lpha eta}{(lpha + eta)^2(lpha + eta + 1)}$ 

• In the capture-recapture example, we know a priori that the mean of the probability we're interested in is  $\mu = 0.57$  and its variance is  $\sigma^2 = 0.073^2$ .

- In the capture-recapture example, we know a priori that the mean of the probability we're interested in is  $\mu = 0.57$  and its variance is  $\sigma^2 = 0.073^2$ .
- Parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are seen as the moments of a  $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution.

- In the capture-recapture example, we know a priori that the mean of the probability we're interested in is  $\mu = 0.57$  and its variance is  $\sigma^2 = 0.073^2$ .
- Parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are seen as the moments of a  $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution.
- Now we look for values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that match the observed moments of the Beta distribution ( $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ ).

- In the capture-recapture example, we know a priori that the mean of the probability we're interested in is  $\mu = 0.57$  and its variance is  $\sigma^2 = 0.073^2$ .
- Parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are seen as the moments of a  $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution.
- Now we look for values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that match the observed moments of the Beta distribution ( $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ ).
- We need another set of equations:

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{1-\mu}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\mu^2$$
$$\beta = \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\mu} - 1\right)$$

• For our model, that means:

```
(alpha <- ( (1 - 0.57)/(0.073*0.073) - (1/0.57) )*0.57^2)
#> [1] 25.64636
(beta <- alpha * ( (1/0.57) - 1))
#> [1] 19.34726
```

• For our model, that means:

```
(alpha <- ( (1 - 0.57)/(0.073*0.073) - (1/0.57) )*0.57^2)
#> [1] 25.64636
(beta <- alpha * ( (1/0.57) - 1))
#> [1] 19.34726
```

• Now use  $\phi_{prior} \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha = 25.6, \beta = 19.3)$  instead of  $\phi_{prior} \sim \text{Normal}(0.57, 0.073^2)$ 

# Your turn: Practical 3

## **Prior predictive checks**

#### Linear regression

Unreasonable prior  $\beta \sim N(0, 1000^2)$ 





#### Logistic regression

Unreasonable logit( $\phi$ ) =  $\beta \sim N(0, 10^2)$ 



Reasonable logit( $\phi$ ) =  $\beta \sim N(0, 1.5^2)$ 

# Your turn: Practical 4