Bayesian statistics with R

5. Markov chains Monte Carlo (MCMC)

Olivier Gimenez

November-December 2024

Get posteriors with Markov chains Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods

• The issue is in
$$Pr(\theta \mid data) = \frac{Pr(data \mid \theta) Pr(\theta)}{Pr(data)}$$

• The issue is in
$$Pr(\theta \mid data) = \frac{Pr(data \mid \theta) Pr(\theta)}{Pr(data)}$$

• $Pr(data) = \int L(data \mid \theta) Pr(\theta) d\theta$ is a *N*-dimensional integral if $\theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$

• The issue is in
$$Pr(\theta \mid data) = \frac{Pr(data \mid \theta) Pr(\theta)}{Pr(data)}$$

- $Pr(data) = \int L(data \mid \theta) Pr(\theta) d\theta$ is a *N*-dimensional integral if $\theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$
- Difficult, if not impossible to calculate!

Deer data

y <- 19 # nb of success n <- 57 # nb of attempts

- Likelihood Binomial(57, θ)
- Prior Beta(*a* = 1, *b* = 1)

Beta prior

```
a <- 1; b <- 1; p <- seq(0,1,.002)
plot(p, dbeta(p,a,b), type='l', lwd=3)</pre>
```



4

• Likelihood times the prior: $Pr(data | \theta) Pr(\theta)$

numerator <- function(p) dbinom(y,n,p)*dbeta(p,a,b)</pre>

• Averaged likelihood: $Pr(data) = \int L(\theta \mid data) Pr(\theta) d\theta$

denominator <- integrate(numerator,0,1)\$value</pre>



6

plot(p, numerator(p)/denominator,type="1", lwd=3, col="green", lty=2)

Superimpose explicit posterior distribution (Beta formula)



And the prior



- Example of a linear regression with parameters α , β and σ to be estimated.

- Example of a linear regression with parameters α , β and σ to be estimated.
- Bayes' theorem says:

$$P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma \mid \mathsf{data}) = \frac{P(\mathsf{data} \mid \alpha, \beta, \sigma) P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)}{\iiint P(\mathsf{data} \mid \alpha, \beta, \sigma) P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \, d\alpha \, d\beta \, d\sigma}$$

- Example of a linear regression with parameters α , β and σ to be estimated.
- Bayes' theorem says:

$$P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma \mid \mathsf{data}) = \frac{P(\mathsf{data} \mid \alpha, \beta, \sigma) P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)}{\iiint P(\mathsf{data} \mid \alpha, \beta, \sigma) P(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \, d\alpha \, d\beta \, d\sigma}$$

• Do we really wish to calculate a 3D integral?

• In the early 1990s, statisticians rediscovered work from the 1950's in physics.

to the free volume equation of state and to a four-term virial coefficient expansion.

THE JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS VOLUME 21, NUMBER 4 JUNE, 1953 Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARLANKA W. ROSENBLUTH, MASSIALL W. ROBERSHUTH, AND AUDUSTA H. TELLER, Les Alasses Scientific Lobersteir, Les Alasses, New Mersico Mar Expression Lieber and Partiel, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received March 6, 1953) A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for subtances consisting of interacting individual molecules is forerible. The method consists of a state for subtances consisting of interacting individual molecules is forerible. The method consists of a state for subtances consisting and method with an and presented here. These results are compared

In the early 1990s, statisticians rediscovered work from the 1950's in physics.

THE JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS VOLUME 21, NUMBER 6 JUNE, 1953 Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines Nicholas Metropolis, Allarea W. Rossnitturn, Massikal, N. Rossnitt, N. Rossnit, R. Rossnitt, N. Rossnitt, N. Rossnitt, N. Rossnitt, N. Rossnit

modified Monte Carlo integration over configuration space. Results for the two-dimensional rigid-sphere system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MANIAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume equation of state and to a four-term virial coefficient expansion.

Use stochastic simulation to draw samples from posterior distributions.

In the early 1990s, statisticians rediscovered work from the 1950's in physics.

THE JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS VOLUME 21. NUMBER 6 JUNE. 1953 Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARIANYA W. ROBENTLITH, MASSIALL N. ROBENTLITH, AND AUGUSTA H. TELLER, Let Alama, Scientific Laboratory, Let Alama, New Merico NO EDWARD TELLER,* Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received March 6, 1953) A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for substances combining of interceiving individual molecules is described. The method consists of a system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MATAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume control on of state and the los for the northod condition.

- Use stochastic simulation to draw samples from posterior distributions.
- Avoid explicit calculation of integrals in Bayes formula.

In the early 1990s, statisticians rediscovered work from the 1950's in physics.

THE JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS VOLUME 21. NUMBER 6 JUNE. 1953 Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARIANYA W. ROBENTLITH, MASSIALL N. ROBENTLITH, AND AUGUSTA H. TELLER, Let Alama, Scientific Laboratory, Let Alama, New Merico NO EDWARD TELLER,* Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received March 6, 1953) A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for substances combining of interceiving individual molecules is described. The method consists of a system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MATAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume control on of state and the los for the northod condition.

- Use stochastic simulation to draw samples from posterior distributions.
- Avoid explicit calculation of integrals in Bayes formula.
- Instead, approximate posterior to arbitrary degree of precision by drawing large sample.

In the early 1990s, statisticians rediscovered work from the 1950's in physics.

THE JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS VOLUME 21. NUMBER 6 JUNE. 1953 Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARLINYA W. ROBENTLITH, MASSIALL N. ROBENTLITH, AND AUGUSTA H. TELLER, Let Alama, Scientific Laboratory, Let Alama, New Merico NO EDWARD TELLER,* Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received March 6, 1953) A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for subataness consisting of interceiving individual molecules is described. The method consists of a system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MATAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume contained on a fast are unit of efficient ensuits.

- Use stochastic simulation to draw samples from posterior distributions.
- Avoid explicit calculation of integrals in Bayes formula.
- Instead, approximate posterior to arbitrary degree of precision by drawing large sample.
- Markov chain Monte Carlo = MCMC; boost to Bayesian statistics!

MANIAC: Mathematical Analyzer, Numerical Integrator, and Computer



MANIAC: 1000 pounds 5 kilobytes of memory 70k multiplications/sec

Your laptop: 4–7 pounds 2–8 million kilobytes Billions of multiplications/sec MCMC: stochastic algorithm to produce sequence of dependent random numbers (from Markov chain).

Why are MCMC methods so useful?

- MCMC: stochastic algorithm to produce sequence of dependent random numbers (from Markov chain).
- Converge to equilibrium (aka stationary) distribution.

Why are MCMC methods so useful?

- MCMC: stochastic algorithm to produce sequence of dependent random numbers (from Markov chain).
- Converge to equilibrium (aka stationary) distribution.
- Equilibrium distribution is the desired posterior distribution!

Why are MCMC methods so useful?

- MCMC: stochastic algorithm to produce sequence of dependent random numbers (from Markov chain).
- Converge to equilibrium (aka stationary) distribution.
- Equilibrium distribution is the desired posterior distribution!
- Several ways of constructing these chains: e.g., Metropolis-Hastings, Gibbs sampler, Metropolis-within-Gibbs.

- MCMC: stochastic algorithm to produce sequence of dependent random numbers (from Markov chain).
- Converge to equilibrium (aka stationary) distribution.
- Equilibrium distribution is the desired posterior distribution!
- Several ways of constructing these chains: e.g., Metropolis-Hastings, Gibbs sampler, Metropolis-within-Gibbs.
- How to implement them in practice?!

• Let's go back to the deer example and survival estimation.

- Let's go back to the deer example and survival estimation.
- We illustrate sampling from the posterior distribution of winter survival.

- Let's go back to the deer example and survival estimation.
- We illustrate sampling from the posterior distribution of winter survival.
- We write functions in R for the likelihood, the prior and the posterior.

```
survived <-19
released \leq -57
# log-likelihood function
loglikelihood <- function(x, p){</pre>
  dbinom(x = x, size = released, prob = p, log = TRUE)
}
# prior density
logprior <- function(p){</pre>
  dunif(x = p, min = 0, max = 1, log = TRUE)
}
```

deer data, 19 "success" out of 57 "attempts"

```
# posterior density function (log scale)
posterior <- function(x, p){
    loglikelihood(x, p) + logprior(p) # - log(Pr(data))
}</pre>
```

1. We start at any possible value of the parameter to be estimated.

- 1. We start at any possible value of the parameter to be estimated.
- 2. To decide where to visit next, we propose to move away from the current value of the parameter. We add to this current value some random value from say a normal distribution with some variance. We call this the **candidate** location.

- 1. We start at any possible value of the parameter to be estimated.
- To decide where to visit next, we propose to move away from the current value of the parameter. We add to this current value some random value from say a normal distribution with some variance. We call this the candidate location.
- 3. We compute the ratio of the probabilities at the candidate and current locations R = posterior(candidate)/posterior(current). This is where the magic of MCMC happens, in that Pr(data) (the denominator of the Bayes theorem) cancels out when we compute R.

- 1. We start at any possible value of the parameter to be estimated.
- To decide where to visit next, we propose to move away from the current value of the parameter. We add to this current value some random value from say a normal distribution with some variance. We call this the candidate location.
- 3. We compute the ratio of the probabilities at the candidate and current locations R = posterior(candidate)/posterior(current). This is where the magic of MCMC happens, in that Pr(data) (the denominator of the Bayes theorem) cancels out when we compute R.
- 4. We spin a continuous spinner that lands anywhere from 0 to 1 call the random spin X. If X is smaller than R, we move to the candidate location, otherwise we remain at the current location.

- 1. We start at any possible value of the parameter to be estimated.
- To decide where to visit next, we propose to move away from the current value of the parameter. We add to this current value some random value from say a normal distribution with some variance. We call this the candidate location.
- 3. We compute the ratio of the probabilities at the candidate and current locations R = posterior(candidate)/posterior(current). This is where the magic of MCMC happens, in that Pr(data) (the denominator of the Bayes theorem) cancels out when we compute R.
- 4. We spin a continuous spinner that lands anywhere from 0 to 1 call the random spin X. If X is smaller than R, we move to the candidate location, otherwise we remain at the current location.
- 5. We repeat 2-4 a number of times called **steps** (many steps).

```
# propose candidate value
move <- function(x, away = .2){
  logitx \leftarrow log(x / (1 - x))
  logit candidate <- logitx + rnorm(1, 0, away)</pre>
  candidate <- plogis(logit_candidate)</pre>
  return(candidate)
}
# set up the scene
steps <- 100
theta.post <- rep(NA, steps)
set.seed(1234)
```

```
# pick starting value (step 1)
inits <- 0.5
theta.post[1] <- inits</pre>
```

for (t in 2:steps){ # repeat steps 2-4 (step 5)

}

propose candidate value for prob of success (step 2)
theta_star <- move(theta.post[t-1])</pre>

```
# calculate ratio R (step 3)
pstar <- posterior(survived, p = theta_star)
pprev <- posterior(survived, p = theta.post[t-1])
logR <- pstar - pprev
R <- exp(logR)</pre>
```

decide to accept candidate value or to keep current value (step 4)
accept <- rbinom(1, 1, prob = min(R, 1))
theta.post[t] <- ifelse(accept == 1, theta_star, theta.post[t-1])</pre>

Starting at the value 0.5 and running the algorithm for 100 iterations.

head(theta.post)
#> [1] 0.5000000 0.4399381 0.4399381 0.4577124 0.4577124 0.4577124
tail(theta.post)
#> [1] 0.4145878 0.3772087 0.3772087 0.3860516 0.3898536 0.3624450









https://gist.github.com/oliviergimenez/5ee33af9c8d947b72a39ed1764040bf3

https://mbjoseph.github.io/posts/2018-12-25-animating-the-metropolis-algorithm/

https://chi-feng.github.io/mcmc-demo/